**Literature Presentation Feedback Form**

Name: \_Sankalp Mrutyunjaya\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Group Presenting: # \_\_1\_\_\_\_

1. What data type (-omic) did this group’s papers focus on (clinical, genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic).

Genomics, Transcriptomics and Epigenomics

1. What was the main finding from this group’s research paper?

RCCs tend to be more aggressive through orchestrating invasive gene modulations

1. What is one thing you learned about the state of the cancer field from this presentation?

I learned that there was a real difference between left and right sided colon cancers.

1. What did you find the most interesting from this presentation?

I thought it was interesting that there was a molecular basis through which you can classify a RCC or LCC.

1. What scientific questions can be further explored following this presentation?

How much more aggressive the treatments need to be in order to achieve success?

1. What did this group do well in the presentation?

This group did a good job of being concise and explaining the figures well.

1. What could be improved in this presentation?

It would be nice to see some of the limitations that the papers identified in the paper.
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1. What data type (-omic) did this group’s papers focus on (clinical, genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic).

Genomics, Transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics

1. What was the main finding from this group’s research paper?

Identification of new subtypes of rare ovarian cancers such as clear cell carcinoma. Multiomics was better able to find biomarkers.

1. What is one thing you learned about the state of the cancer field from this presentation?

I did not know you can combine multiple omics approaches to studying cancers.

1. What did you find the most interesting from this presentation?

I found it interesting that there was a thing called clear cell carcinoma.

1. What scientific questions can be further explored following this presentation?

How is clear cell carcinoma detected and classified?

1. What did this group do well in the presentation?

The group did well at presenting the figures because there was a lot of different graphs that would get confusing.

1. What could be improved in this presentation?

I think they could have improved by adding less words. It’s hard to focus on the oration, taking notes, and reading the presentation.
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